

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JOHN DOE, A MINOR CHILD, BY AND
THROUGH HIS NEXT FRIEND JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

TWITTER, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:21-cv-00485-JCS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT TWITTER, INC.'S MOTION TO
DISMISS**

Hearing Date: June 4, 2021

Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

Judge: Honorable Joseph C. Spero

Defendant Twitter, Inc.'s ("Twitter") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint (the "Motion to Dismiss") was heard on June 4, 2021 at 9:30 a.m. by this Court. Having considered all papers filed in support of and in opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, oral arguments of counsel, and all other pleadings and papers on file herein, the Court finds as follows:

1. Twitter is entitled to immunity from all of Plaintiff's claims pursuant to Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, 47 U.S.C. § 230.
2. Plaintiff has also failed to state a claim for violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1595, 18 U.S.C. § 2258A,

1 California products liability, negligence, gross negligence, negligence per se, and negligent
2 infliction of emotional distress, and under Cal. Civ. Code § 1708.85.

3 Good cause appearing therefor, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Twitter's Motion to
4 Dismiss is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED** in its entirety **WITH**
5 **PREJUDICE**.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7
8 Dated: _____

The Hon. Joseph C. Spero
United States Magistrate Judge